BLENHEIM ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE P.O. Box 8 Blenheim, South Carolina 29516 PK-8 Elementary School GRADES 376 Students ENROLLMENT Fred Thomas 843-528-3262 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. David A. Sherbine 843-479-4016 Mr. Ronald B. Henegan 843-479-7838 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 17 61 24 2 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 14 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

77.6%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts

Proficient

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st		/ %		/ °`	/	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M.
	h/Langua						04.7	V	
All Students	250	91.2	38.1	45.6	16.3	0.0	24.7	Yes	Yes
Gender	400	00.0	40.0	45.0	0.0	0.0	40.0		
Male	122	90.2	46.8	45.0	8.3	0.0	13.8		
Female	128	92.2	29.2	46.2	24.5	0.0	35.8		
Racial/Ethnic Group White	134	90.3	31.3	48.7	20.0	0.0	27.0	Yes	No
African-American	110	93.6	45.8	40.7	11.5	0.0	21.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islanders	N/A	93.0 N/A	45.6 N/A	42.7 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	1/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/5	1/5	1/0	1/0
Not disabled	218	98.2	37.8	45.8	16.4	0.0	25.4		
Disabled	32	43.8	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0	14.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status	02	10.0	12.0	12.0	1 110	0.0		., 0	., 0
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	250	91.2	38.1	45.6	16.3	0.0	24.7		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	250	91.2	38.1	45.6	16.3	0.0	24.7		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	205	90.2	42.8	42.2	15.0	0.0	22.0	Yes	No
Full-pay meals	45	95.6	19.0	59.5	21.4	0.0	35.7		

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	250	91.6	41.2	45.4	11.6	1.9	25.5	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	122	91.0	40.9	46.4	11.8	0.9	27.3		
Female	128	92.2	41.5	44.3	11.3	2.8	23.6		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	134	91.0	38.8	47.4	11.2	2.6	26.7	Yes	Yes
African-American	110	93.6	43.8	43.8	11.5	1.0	22.9	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not disabled	218	98.6	40.1	45.5	12.4	2.0	27.2		
Disabled	32	43.8	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	250	91.6	41.2	45.4	11.6	1.9	25.5		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	250	91.6	41.2	45.4	11.6	1.9	25.5		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	205	90.2	45.7	40.5	12.1	1.7	22.0	Yes	No
Full-pay meals	45	97.8	23.3	65.1	9.3	2.3	39.5		

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced		
		Englis	sh/Langu	age Arts					
Grade 3	47	100.0	34.8	32.6	32.6	N/A	32.6		
Grade 4	56	98.2	50.0	33.3	16.7	N/A	16.7		
Grade 5	56	100.0	47.1	43.1	9.8	N/A	9.8		
Grade 6	32	100.0	55.6	29.6	14.8	N/A	14.8		
Grade 7	24	100.0	52.4	42.9	4.8	N/A	4.8		
Grade 8	38	97.4	76.5	20.6	2.9	N/A	2.9		
Grade 3	46	95.7	29.5	45.5	25.0	N/A	25.0		
Grade 4	46	93.5	38.1	47.6	14.3	N/A	14.3		
Grade 5	59	91.5	41.5	45.3	13.2	N/A	13.2		
Grade 6	51	84.3	35.7	42.9	21.4	N/A	21.4		
Grade 7	26	92.3	40.9	54.5	4.5	N/A	4.5		
Grade 8	22	90.9	45.0	45.0	10.0	N/A	10.0		
			Mathemat	ics					
Grade 3	47	100.0	30.4	67.4	2.2	N/A	2.2		
Grade 4	56	100.0	45.5	49.1	3.6	1.8	5.5		
Grade 5	56	100.0	49.0	43.1	7.8	N/A	7.8		
Grade 6	32	100.0	40.7	40.7	11.1	7.4	18.5		
Grade 7	24	95.8	38.1	52.4	9.5	N/A	9.5		
Grade 8	38	100.0	60.0	31.4	5.7	2.9	8.6		
Grade 3	46	95.7	25.0	63.6	11.4	N/A	11.4		
Grade 4	46	95.7	32.6	58.1	9.3	N/A	9.3		
Grade 5	59	91.5	66.0	28.3	3.8	1.9	5.7		
Grade 6	51	84.3	33.3	35.7	26.2	4.8	31.0		
Grade 7	26	92.3	36.4	40.9	18.2	4.5	22.7		
Grade 8	22	90.9	40.0	60.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 376)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	N/C	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	4.7%	Up from 3.9%	3.6%	2.7%
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade	95.4% 1.3%	Up from 94.5%	96.3% 7.0%	96.4% 4.6%
level Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.9%		5.3%	3.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.5%	Up from 5.3%	7.1%	13.5%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	6.7%	Up from 1.5%	8.8%	8.2%
Older than usual for grade	3.5%	Down from 4.2%	2.3%	0.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	Down from 4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 28)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	57.1%	Down from 75.0%	47.5%	51.4%
Continuing contract teachers	78.6%	Down from 95.8%	82.6%	87.5%
Highly qualified teachers**	76.5%	N/A	94.4%	95.0%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	86.6%	Down from 86.8%	84.9%	86.7%
Teacher attendance rate	95.0%	Up from 94.3%	94.8%	94.9%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$39,329 15.6 days	Down 1.5% Down from 16.7 days	\$39,918 3 13.1 days	\$40,760 12.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 1.5	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	17.5 to 1	Down from 21.1 to 1	17.5 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.4%	Up from 85.2%	89.6%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,825	Up 2.2%	\$6,453	\$6,044
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	62.0%	Down from 65.7%	64.9%	65.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	Down from Good	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	91.9% Yes	Up from 65.1% No change	99.0% Yes	99.0% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good
		Our District	5	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	N/A	9	2.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	85.9%	9	1.1%
		State Objectiv	e Met Sta	te Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school	**	65.0%		Yes
Student attendance in this school **NOTE: The verification process was not complete	d for the year rer	95.3%		Yes s may not be accura

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Blenheim Elementary/Middle School, hereafter referred to as BEMS, housed 416 students in grades Pre-Kindergarten through 8 during the 2003-2004 school year. There were 20 heterogeneous homerooms in this span. The school is located in a rural, close-knit community with positive and supportive parents, especially in the primary grades. Business partnerships increased by 22% during the 2003-2004 school year and were instrumental in securing books (classroom sets) for both buildings at BEMS.

The major academic focus during the year was the teaching of the South Carolina Academic Standards at all grade levels. The America's Choice Design was implemented to improve student academic performance, to understand the implications of focused teaching for classroom practice and classroom organization. The Early Reading First: PIRDE Project was implemented to prevent future reading difficulties in targeted high-risk three and four year old before they happen.

PACT data showed random improvements and decreases in English/language arts and math in grades 3-6. A major decline was shown in the PACT data for grades 7-8. This resulted in an unsatisfactory rating for the middle school grades. As a result, the State Department of Education sent an external review team to review all aspects of the middle school area and make recommendations for improvement. Part of these recommendations included providing teacher specialists on-site in the areas of science, English/language arts, and math. These individuals will be providing valuable assistance to faculty members, which hopefully will increase student achievement. In addition, a curriculum specialist will be in place to help focus the entire middle school curriculum on improving PACT scores.

Expectatins remain high for acadmeic achievement, improvement in test scores, development of a caring and cohesive faculty, and improved parental support. This can only be accomplished by involving everyone in the school improvement process. Students will benefit from teachers who have positive attitudes, great work ethics, are team players, and are willing to put in the time and effort to make sure that children are successful in their classroom.

The staff and administration will work together as a team to meet the total needs of all children by taking the responsibility of developing the children of today into the leaders of tomorrow.

Mr. Fred Thomas, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	23	57	24				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	90.9%	86.8%	66.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	76.2%	83.9%	62.5%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	65.2%	76.8%	62.5%				
*Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and th	eir parents were ir	ncluded.					